Message	
From:	Dary Stone [dstone@hicksholdings.com]
Sent: To:	3/3/2016 5:08:56 PM <mark>Farley Dakan</mark> [/O=MACKPAZZ/OU=First administrative group/cn=Recipients/cn=fdakan]
Subject:	Re: budget,
I get it. :	I am back late tonight. How about 1:30 tomorrow?
Sent from my iPhone	
> On Mar 3 >	, 2016, at 12:04 PM, Farley Dakan <fdakan@mackinacpartners.com> wrote:</fdakan@mackinacpartners.com>
> <mark>Dary</mark> , >	
	a better conversation in person, because I think it depends.
<mark>raise a fu</mark>	ave been thinking initially is a "fundless sponsor" structure, if the decision to initially nd is made then the economics would shift in favor of Goff/Stone/Hicks.
> > Here were my initial thoughts:	
<pre>&gt; - Goff/Stone/Hicks would be the working capital partners of the GP. &gt; - Goff/Stone/Hicks would be the working capital partners of the GP. &gt; - All potential opportunities/acquisitions (portfolios, single loans, assets, companies, originations) above \$5mm generated by Dakan would go exclusively to NEWCO. &gt; - Goff/Stone/Hicks would have LP co-investment rights up to 10% that would be un-promoted. &gt; - Goff/Stone/Hicks would agree to use "best efforts" on a non-exclusive basis to utilize the NEWCO platform for "special situation" type investments &gt; - All non-NEWCO (special situations) type opportunities/assets generated by Dakan to be sent to Goff and/or Hicks and/or Stone &gt; - Investment Committee structure TBD (pursuit, go/no-go, etc.)</pre>	
> - <mark>I would</mark> pipeline to >	d build the platform/team and get going initially on UDF, but also have a very interesting o
	hrowing out economics that might get taken out of context.
> When can we discuss? >	
> Farley >	
> From: Da > Sent: We > To: Farle	ginal Message <mark>ry Stone</mark> [mailto:dstone@hicksholdings.com] dnesday, March 02, 2016 8:29 PM <mark>ey Dakan</mark> <fdakan@mackinacpartners.com> Re: budget</fdakan@mackinacpartners.com>
> Hey Farley, how were you seeing the promote being shared? >	
> Sent from	m my iPad
>> On Mar : >>	2, 2016, at 11:13 AM, Farley Dakan <fdakan@mackinacpartners.com> wrote:</fdakan@mackinacpartners.com>
>> Dary, >>	
>> Attachee	d is a 2 year budget for the "Special Situations Platform". A couple of things to note:
>> - 2016	has no revenue projections only expense burn for 2 years. is broken out monthly. is annualized.
>> - I put \$240,000 per year in as a salary/draw. That has been my base for the past 5 years, and I can walk you through total comp if you need that context. Would like to discuss this piece further, the level of comp is intertwined with the ultimate partnership structure. >> - I have not included an assumption on bonus comp for the "other" employees so that we can discuss further.	
>> - All o >> - I wou	ccupancy costs are eliminated from this spreadsheet per your request. ld assume that we would also need funds for deal specific pursuit, which I have NOT included in ction. I would say that initially that amount should be in the \$250k range and drawn on a case sis.
>> Safe travels back from NYC and let me know when you want to circle up to discuss.	

```
>>
>> Best,
>>
>> Farley
>>
>> ----Original Message-----
>> From: Dary Stone [mailto:dstone@hicksholdings.com]
>> Sent: Monday, February 29, 2016 8:32 PM
>> To: Farley Dakan <fdakan@mackinacpartners.com>
>> Subject: Re: budget.....
>>
>> Farley. I would have preferred in person but am off to NY tomorrow for Hunt Bd mtgs. You can wait til
I get back Fri email and I will call from the road
>>
>> Sent from my iPhone
>>
>> On Feb 29, 2016, at 5:46 PM, Farley Dakan
<fdakan@mackinacpartners.com<mailto:fdakan@mackinacpartners.com>> wrote:
>>
>> Dary,
>>
>> I have completed a preliminary 2 year budget. Do you want me to email to you or do you want to meet
up and discuss in person?
>>
>> Farley
>>
>>
>>
>> W. Farley Dakan
>> 200 Crescent Court
>> Suite 240
>> Dallas, TX 75201
>> 214.754.9919 ofc
>> 512.921.0950 mb]
>> fdakan@mackinacpartners.com<mailto:fdakan@mackinacpartners.com>
>>
>> <scout ssg budget v1.pdf>
```